

HC106F
HONORARIUM

- The form should be completed, correct and all relevant fields filled out, and signed by you.
- The payment of honorarium claims coincides with the payroll cycle and is subjected to deadlines.
- There is a possibility of tax deductions that may reduce the claim amount displayed on the form, aligned with SARA legislation.
- There is a possibility of fees charged for requesting a payment in a foreign currency.

OE Code and Name	OE 4543 External examiner Honorarium claim form											
Location	Potchefstroom	Extension no	9	9	4	0	4	3	Internal Box no	3	5	8

SECTION A: CLAIMANT INFORMATION

Surname		Cortze		Title	Dr	NWU NR (if applicable)					
First names		Johan		Previous/maiden name							
Gender	Male	Race	White	Select from the	Nationality	South Africa					
Marital status	Single	Select from the list	Language preference	Select from the list	English	Date of Birth	77-08-19				
ID no	7708195070082										
Passport no											
Income Tax no											
Residential Address	37 Swartwipens Circle Woodland Hills BN					Postal address	Dept Economics & Finance UP, Bloemfontein				
						If same as residential address tick here	☐				
Postal Code	9301					Postal Code	9301				
Home telephone no						Mobile no					
Work telephone no						Email address					

SECTION B: PARTICULARS OF WORK PERFORMANCE

Work done in SA	☒	Work done in foreign country	☐
Student Initials and Surname			
Programme name of Student			
Claim Type, Unit and Tariff	POSTGRADUATE - Written Per answer book R80.00		

SECTION C: BANK DETAILS FOR SA CITIZENS


Bank name	Select from list	FNB	Bank branch Code	250655			
Bank account no	62782420047			Account Type	Select from the list		
Account holder Surname and Initials	J. Cortze			Account holder Relationship	Select from the list		
					OWN		


SECTION D: BANK DETAILS FOR FOREIGNER PAYMENT

Bank branch name			Bank street Address		
City			Code		
Country			Zip Code		
IBAN no (European Countries)			Swift Code		
Account holder	Surname			Initials	
Preferred currency			Amount if converted	0.00	

SECTION E: CLAIM AMOUNT (Completed by the line manager)

Claim Amount															
Starting date of service															
Accounting Combination	P	C	-	1	A	0	0	5	6	5	-	1	3	0	1

	Initials and surname	Signature	Date
Claimant	J Cortze		13 July 2019.
Fin: Accountant			
Line Manager			



NWU[®]
NORTH-WEST UNIVERSITY
NOORDWES-UNIVERSITEIT
YUNIBESITHI YA BOKONE-BOPHIRIMA

BUSINESS SCHOOL
BESIGHEIDSKOOL
SEKOLO SA KGWEBO

Private Bag X6001, Potchefstroom
South Africa 2520

NWU School of Business & Governance
Tel: 0182991419
Email: antonett.visagie@nwu.ac.za
24 June 2019

POTCHEFSTROOM BUSINESS SCHOOL: EXTERNAL MODERATOR'S REPORT

1.1.1 MODULE TITLE	Management Economics
MODULE CODE	CCMM 515
EXTERNAL MODERATOR	Dr. J. Goetzee (UFS)

A. SYLLABUS

1. Is the syllabus adequately and appropriately covered by the learning content?

YES	NO
-----	----

If 'No', why not? _____

2. Does the syllabus (by means of outcomes) address the required management skills?

YES	NO
-----	----

If 'No', why not? _____

3. Is the required learning content adequately addressed by the prescribed book w.r.t.:

- Conceptual approach?
- Examples?
- Exercises?

YES	NO
YES	NO
YES	NO

If 'No', why not? _____

B. EXAMINATION PAPER

1. Were the module outcomes adequately evaluated by the:

- topics covered in the paper (specific outcomes)?
- type of questions set (critical outcomes)?

YES	NO
YES	NO

If 'No', why not? _____

2. Did the paper, as a whole, cover the syllabus adequately?

YES	NO
-----	----

If 'No', why not? _____

3. Were marks allocated on a fair basis as to the importance of the specific topic and time allowed?

YES	NO
-----	----

If 'No', why not? _____

4. Was the standard of the questions:

- In accordance with expected outcomes?
- Well formulated with measurable action words?
- Of acceptable international standard?

YES	NO
YES	NO
YES	NO

If 'No', why not? _____

5. Did the assignments (standard and frequency) comply with the outcomes and contribute (add value) to the overall achievement of the outcomes?

YES	NO
-----	----

If 'No', any recommendation? _____

C. MARKING

1. Were marks awarded:

- consistently?
- according to the marks memorandum?
- for acceptable alternative answers in open-ended questions?

YES	NO
YES	NO
YES	NO

If 'No', why not? _____

2. Was the marking of an acceptable standard?

YES	NO
-----	----

If 'No', any recommendation? _____

GENERAL

Any remarks not covered by the above?

Good quality paper.

/mb/Anet-External Moderators Report/2008-09-08