

**MR. PIET GREYLING
NWU BUSINESS SCHOOL
MAFIKENG**

Dear Mr. Greyling

MODULE FINAL EVALUATION: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE (BLCG 511/MBAA 811)

Participants are normally required to evaluate the presentation of a module. Please receive a report on the evaluation of the above module that you presented from the 08-10 Feb 2019.

ATTENDANCE	
DATE	NO. OF PARTICIPANTS
08 Feb 2019	55
09 Feb 2019	62
10 Feb 2019	61

16 candidates, who attended class on 16 September 2018, evaluated the module as follows: (10% is lowest rating; 100% is highest rating)

A. RATE THE FACILITATOR ON THE FOLLOWING

	Rating	Percentage (%)
1. Use of teaching aids	4.6	46
2. Clarification of objectives	8.2	82
3. Illustrating points	8.3	83
4. Structure of presentation	8.3	83
5. Obtaining participation	8.4	84
6. Summarizing	8.4	84
7. Overall rating of the facilitator	8.5	85

B. RATE THE MODULE CONTENT ON THE FOLLOWING:

	Rating	Percentage (%)
8. How was the ratio of lecture to discussion	8.5	85
9. The effectiveness of the module pack	8.2	82
10. Time allocated to the module	7.4	74
11. Was the module content pertinent to your needs	7.4	74

C. RATE THE FACILITIES AND ADMINISTRATION IN THE FOLLOWING:


	Rating	Percentage (%)
12. How do you rate facilities	7.0	70
13. Administrative support during weekends	8.0	80

D. WHAT WOULD MAKE THIS MODULE MORE EFFECTIVE

- Keeping the lecturer, since he has lot of information.
- Some student were comfortable with the format and facilities utilised. However, some students wants the class to be attended back at the main Campus.
- The aircons were malfunctioning at times, it was very hot on Friday.
- The students suggest that maybe in the future, visitation to big companies will assist.
- Increasing the allocated time to the module.
- Kindly provide lecture slides week before the commencement of the lectures so that the students can prepare better, be mindfully active during lectures and bring forth valid questions. The lecture however, is exceptionally informative and engaging.
- Shorten the lecture duration for information or concentration.
- Encourage more group discussions to instil participation and understanding of the content.
- There should be a fair balance of illustration using both government departs/soc and corporate companies. This will assist students already working in the public service.
- The module is loaded with valuable information and creates a vast awareness and creates much interest - it is ok so far.
- Allocate more time and let there be group discussion together with presentations. The 3 days is not sufficient to cover all modules.
- Add more audio and visual illustration to the presentation.
- A clearer explanation of bullets on slides. It is confusing seeing one word under a heading e.g Audit Committee: Chairman" what about chairman? Which chairman?
- Practical illustrations through videos of Board meeting, shareholders and audit committee meeting.
- Better communication between students especially with regards to assignments.

We sincerely trust that the participants' assessment will be of assistance to you. On behalf of the faculty we wish to thank you for your presentation.

Yours sincerely,



A handwritten signature in black ink, featuring a large, stylized initial 'P' followed by a long horizontal stroke.

Puleng Mogwere

Cc: Programme Manager


1. FACILITATOR'S RATE

	Rating
Use of teaching aids	4.6
Clarification of objectives	8.2
Illustrating points	8.3
Structure of presentation	8.3
Obtaining participation	8.4
Summarizing	8.4
Overall rating of the facilitator	8.5



MODULE RATE

MODULE RATE	
How was the ratio of lecture to discussion	8.5
The effectiveness of the module pack	8.2
Time allocated to the module	7.4
Was the module content pertinent to your needs	7.4



2. FACILITIES AND ADMINISTRATION RATE

FACILITIES AND ADMINISTRATION RATE	
How do you rate facilities	7.0
Administrative support during weekend lectures	8.0

